

**PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REPORT**  
**August 17, 2011**  
**City Council Chambers**

**Members Present:** Jason Wiener (chair), Ed Childers, Dick Haines, Lyn Hellegaard, Bob Jaffe, Marilyn Marler, Renee Mitchell, Dave Strohmaier, Pam Walzer, Jon Wilkins, Cynthia Wolken

**Members Absent:** N/A

**Others Present:** Steve King, Kevin Slovarp, Jack Stucky, Doug Harby, Gregg Wood, Brian Hensel, Emily Bentley, James Hausauer, Derek Goldman, Brianna Randall, John Wolverton

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS**

A. Approval of the minutes of – August 10, 2011 Approved as submitted

B. Announcements –

Jason Wiener **removed item seven**, from the Held and Ongoing Items, the Discussion of Mountain Water Parent Company's acquisition. This has been replaced by a new referral on today's agenda.

Gregg Wood, Project Coordinator, announced that the 5<sup>th</sup>/6<sup>th</sup>/Arthur project was almost complete, it has been paved, was being striped today and the landscaping was being completed.

C. Public Comment on Non-Agenda items – None

**II. CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS**

1. Approve the purchase of two (2) 2012 Freightliner M2112 dump trucks, from I-State Truck Center, Missoula for a total of \$229,296.00 for both trucks. These trucks are being purchased for the City of Missoula Street Division. (memo)—Regular Agenda (Jack Stucky) (Referred to committee: 08/15/2011) (REMOVE FROM AGENDA)

**Motion: The committee recommends the City Council approve the purchase of two (2) 2012 Freightliner M2112 Dump Trucks, from I-State Truck Center, Missoula, Montana for a total of \$229,296.00 for both trucks.**

Jack Stucky, Vehicle Maintenance Superintendent, asked the committee to approve the purchase of two 2012 Dump Trucks for a total of \$229,296.00 for the Street Division. These are scheduled equipment replacements and are used by the Street Division for nearly all aspects of street maintenance and repair. They are in the Capital Improvements Program (CIP) and there is \$260,000.00 in funds allocated for this purchase. The I-State Truck Center was the low bidder. There are no trades included in this purchase.

Pam Walzer made the motion to recommend the City Council approve the purchase of two (2) 2012 Freightliner M2112 Dump Trucks, from I-State Truck Center, Missoula, Montana for a total of \$229,296.00 for both trucks. She asked Jack Stucky if the City insignias were completely removed from the old trucks, Jack Stucky said they were completely removed or painted over.

The motion was passed unanimously.

2. Approve the purchase of two (2) 2012 Dodge Charger patrol cars from Ronan Dodge, Ronan for \$27,930.00 each; a total of \$55,860.00 for both cars. This purchase is a cooperative purchasing agreement with the State of Montana. (memo)—Regular Agenda (Jack Stucky) (Referred to committee: 08/15/2011) (REMOVE FROM AGENDA)

**Motion: The committee recommends the City Council approve the purchase of Two (2) 2012 Dodge Charger Patrol Cars from Ronan Dodge, Ronan, Montana for \$27,930.00 each; a total of \$55,860.00 for both cars.**

Jack Stucky, Vehicle Maintenance Superintendent, requested the committee approve the purchase of Two (2) 2012 Dodge Charger Patrol Cars from Ronan Dodge, Ronan, Montana for \$27,930.00 each; a total of \$55,860.00 for both cars. This purchase is in compliance with the vehicle replacement schedule and the Police Department has \$76,000.00 budgeted for the purchase of two patrol cars with accessories. Auxiliary Police Patrol cars components such as radios, gun racks, top lights, etc. may need to be purchased to fit new cars. This is a cooperative purchasing agreement with the State of Montana. There are no trades in this purchase.

Ed Childers made the motion to recommend the City Council approve the purchase of Two (2) 2012 Dodge Charger Patrol Cars from Ronan Dodge, Ronan, Montana for \$27,930.00 each; a total of \$55,860.00 for both cars.

Pam Walzer asked what happens to the old cars if there was no trade in.

Jack Stucky said they are sent to be used as admin vehicles for a couple of years and some admin vehicles are sent to auction or as in this case they are going directly to auction.

Jason Wiener asked how they determined who would do the work to install the accessories, was the work put out to bid? Jack Stucky said the City employees do the work.

The motion was passed unanimously.

3. Approve the purchase of one (1) asphalt recycling machine (PT 3000) provided by Pavement Technologies, Inc., of Albany, New York for a total of \$188,829. (memo)—Regular Agenda (Brian Hensel) (Referred to committee: 08/15/2011) (REMOVE FROM AGENDA)

**Motion: The committee recommends the City Council approve the purchase of one (1) Asphalt Recycling Machine from Pavement Technologies, Inc. of Albany, New York for a total of \$188,829 and return bid bonds.**

Brian Hensel, Street Maintenance Superintendent, requested the committee approve the purchase of an Asphalt Recycling Machine for \$188,829.00 for the City Street Division. This will enable them to recycle asphalt millings and waste asphalt chunks to produce hot asphalt mix year round for patching potholes and doing small scale street repairs. The lower price of \$188,829.00 was achieved by eliminating optional equipment the department deemed unnecessary. The cost of this machine will be financed with payments made directly from the Street Division operations budget. Owning it will allow for significant cost savings realized by producing our own asphalt. The Pavement Technologies, Inc. PT 3000 was the most expensive machine submitted, however it was determined to be the most suitable for Missoula Street Operations based on production, labor and air quality.

Jon Wilkins made the motion to recommend the City Council approve the purchase of one (1) Asphalt Recycling Machine from Pavement Technologies, Inc. of Albany, New York for a total of \$188,829 and return bid bonds. He asked if this was a better machine than the one the City borrowed from Bozeman last year.

Brian Hensel said this machine was a batch machine, you put in three tons of millings or asphalt chunks and you get three tons out, the Bozeman machine is a constant feed which means you constantly put material in to get material out. That machine is more labor intensive, requiring at least three employees. When you continuously put material in the machine it causes temperature fluctuations which makes it hard to control the quality of the hot asphalt, it made more smoke and was

difficult to add the additives per ton. He said there would be some opportunity to provide the private sector to be able to acquire the asphalt.

Cynthia Wolken asked if other municipalities were going to borrow this machine from the City. Brian Hensel said probably not because the City would be using it.

Pam Walzer stated since this was the highest bid awarded she thinks there should be written documentation for the reason this one was purchased and not the lowest bid.

Brian Hensel said he has all the bids so it is on record and this particular machine is the most suitable for us. He has personally seen this machine operate, it is the simplest, it has the best production and is the least smoky; that is a major concern because Missoula has air quality issues. The documentation is in the bids submitted by the manufacturers. He can provide written documentation if necessary.

Renee Mitchell wanted to know if they had to mix three tons, could a smaller amount be mixed.

Brian Hensel said the capacity of the pothole patch truck is three tons and so they would make three tons, send the truck out and when it comes back it would be filled again, that is where the three ton amount came from.

Lyn Hellegaard felt that any money generated by selling asphalt should be put on record that those funds go into a sinking fund so the Street Maintenance Division could use them to either purchase another machine or replace this one when necessary. Any funds his department generates should go to them and not to the general fund to be allocated to some other department.

The motion passed unanimously.

4. Resolution to order curb and sidewalk improvement project 10-035, S. 6<sup>th</sup> St. /Schilling between Kemp & S. 7<sup>th</sup> St. (memo)—Regular Agenda (Doug Harby) (Referred to committee: 08/15/2011) **(REMOVE FROM AGENDA)**

**Motion: The committee recommends the City Council adopt the resolution to order curb and sidewalk improvements adjacent to properties on S. 6<sup>th</sup> St. /Schilling St. between Kemp and S. 7<sup>th</sup> St., Project 10-035.**

Doug Harby, Project Manager, said the area being considered is currently a dirt/gravel road with no drainage structures, pedestrian facilities or controlled parking. There is a drainage ditch on the northern portion of the S. 6<sup>th</sup> Street right-of-way. There is a mix of single family and condominium residential units. Twenty four out of twenty nine properties are already under obligation to install right-of-way requirements as part of their developments. A notice letter was sent to the property owners informing them of the project proposal and construction cost estimates. There was one protest from 736 Schilling, the property owner did not understand why she had to be responsible for the improvement and he did explain why she was responsible. The City will fund the street excavation, installation of sumps, and base course and asphalt installation. All other costs will be assessed to the adjacent property owners under the City's Special Improvement Assessment Program with financing available. He requested the City Council approve this project.

Jon Wilkins asked if the owners of the condominiums were notified when they bought the condo they would have to put in. Why were sidewalks not required when this property was developed?

Doug Harby replied he did not know if the individual owners were notified but Tom Watkins owns and or built them and he knew. The reason the sidewalks were not initially required is because it is a gravel street and if the whole street is not done at the same time the completed and uncompleted portions would crater from the drainage, it would fill the drainage facilities with gravel.

Jon Wilkins made the motion to recommend the City Council adopt the resolution to order curb and sidewalk improvements adjacent to properties on S. 6<sup>th</sup> St. /Schilling St. between Kemp and S. 7<sup>th</sup> St., Project 10-035. He also said it was important for the Council members to remember there must be a good reason to not require sidewalks to be installed at the time of development. The developer must notify the buyer that sidewalks and/or curbs will be a future requirement and the new owner understands their responsibility.

Ed Childers said Title Companies should be researching this, he supports this project.

Doug Harby stated that nothing is filed; unless the Title Company looks at the building permits they would not know this. One way to inform new owners would be to require the person that requests the postponement of the requirement to file this with the Clerk and Recorder. There is a City Ordinance that is currently being rewritten and it would be easy to add language to say the property owner would be required to file the notice and provide us with proof of filing before a Certificate of Occupancy was issued.

**Public Comment:**

Jim Hausauer stated there are fifteen blocks of unpaved streets in his neighborhood. If this project is not going to be acted upon this year why not let this neighborhood have more input and consideration. Some of the reasons this should happen is because some drivers use this street for a shortcut and this creates a hazard. This is also a Safe Route to School. In the Infrastructure Plan there was a specific concern about 6<sup>th</sup> Street; on page R3 Number 4, it states the neighborhood does not want 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> Streets connected through their neighborhood from Reserve Street to the existing one-way system, this neighborhood is large geographically and has approximately eleven percent of the population. He asked if more traffic calming and bulb outs could be added to this street. When the bike/pedestrian bridge was built at Schilling and 6<sup>th</sup> Street it was built about seven inches higher than expectations, so maybe a larger bulb out at that intersection could serve as traffic calming and provide a better access for pedestrians. We need to preserve emergency access but not make it a high speed route. He would like to emphasize if we have the time, why not take more time to study this and be more creative.

Jon Wilkins asked Doug Harby how many years the City has been working on this project. Has Public Works been working with the neighborhood and if this project is delayed today what would happen?

Doug Harby said they started in 2008. In response to some of Jim Hausauer's concerns he stated this street is only thirty two feet wide with parking on both sides with twenty four width bulb outs on 6<sup>th</sup> Street. The bridge is being included in the design and will meet all ADA requirements and this will be a calm local street. The neighborhood council is aware of the project and they worked with the neighborhoods on the bridge, but did not talk to each one. This project will not be completed this year but they wanted to get everything ready so it could bid this winter.

The motion passed unanimously.

### **III. REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS**

5. Discussion on scope of work on corner lots in conjunction with lineal curb and sidewalk projects. (memo) – Regular Agenda (Doug Harby) (Referred to committee: 08/08/2011).**(REMOVE FROM AGENDA)**

Doug Harby, Project Manager, said this was a discussion because Public Works has several projects that are connecting handicap ramps to the projects done with the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and to some other projects. Public Works has received mixed messages regarding the funding of these projects. There are a lot of the side streets on corner lots that back up to the alley and do not have sidewalks or they are broken sidewalks and are hazardous. Ramps will be put in on all corners, the question is do we go from the corner of the ramp to the alley with one assessment and come back in the future with another assessment to complete the project to the

property lines; or do we complete the whole project with one assessment. The City recommends one assessment. We want to have these projects shovel ready for spring so we can get early bids.

Ed Childers spoke to the owner of the Automotive Clinic and he is concerned he will not be able to afford this and he will lose his business. He feels we should be able to put in the main curb and sidewalk and the side streets could be put in later with the understanding that the property owner will have to put in the curb and sidewalk at a future date; he would like to offer this option to the property owners.

Monte Sipe explained Public Works is attempting to develop a lot of sidewalk and curb projects and the first thing to do is to define the boundaries. The question for linear projects is if you do not wrap the corner at least to that property ownership (corner lot) what is left? Do you leave that street frontage alone for twenty years or do you come back and add another assessment five or ten years later to complete the project. Ideally it would be to complete the whole project at one time, but then the expense factor also has to be considered.

Doug Harby offered to include a statement in the notice letters to the property owners what the project will include and to give them the option to do the whole project at one time and to contact Public Works for the total cost estimate.

Jason Wiener asked if this discussion gave Public Works sufficient guidance, and Doug Harby said yes.

6. Resolution stating the City of Missoula's policy regarding the proposed sale of Mountain Water's parent company to the Carlyle Group. (memo)—Regular Agenda (Jason Wiener) (Referred to committee: 08/15/2011) (HELD IN COMMITTEE)

Jason Wiener stated the proposed resolution says three things: 1.) City Council supports acquiring Mountain Water, 2.) City Council supports a stipulation on the sale of the company in this instance that provides us an enforceable guarantee of an absolute right to purchase the water utility and 3.) City Council supports further stipulations as necessary. His understanding is that there can be many stipulations on these sales, such as salaries that will not be paid to allowed expenses, etc.

Renee Mitchell asked how we would pay for the purchase of the water utility, she would like to see the purchase go on a referendum. She also would like the Council to consider a cooperative so the people of Missoula own the utility. She would like more input from the citizens.

Cynthia Wolken said she understands the City would not be paying for the water utility from the general fund that the current water rates would pay for the purchase. She feels the resolution is too open ended concerning the purchase price and timing. We should let the PSC and the public know we would be supportive of the sale if there were specific stipulations.

Jason Wiener stated the Mayor's affidavit and the preliminary analysis suggest that the revenue of the utility at the current rate would be sufficient to cover the debt service on the utility bond. On Friday when we see the administration's responses to the PSC additional data request, this will be spelled out in more detail. We can act on this today and have it on Monday's agenda or we can hold action until September 12<sup>th</sup> with a possible settlement at that point.

Dick Haines wanted some aspects in the record. If Carlyle sells this utility the PSC would not have any jurisdiction at City level, and the water rates would be controlled by the City. If Mountain Water wants to sell to Carlyle it is subject to PSC approval and the PSC would still be involved. If the City owns the water utility they can refuse water service to potential customers, there is no binding law that says they must provide the water, if Carlyle owns it the PSC mandates they must service the potential customer.

Dave Strohmaier made the motion the committee recommend the City Council adopt the resolution to order curb and sidewalk improvements adjacent to properties on S. 6<sup>th</sup> St. /Schilling St. between Kemp and S. 7<sup>th</sup> St., Project 10-035. He said Missoula is one of the few cities in Montana that does not own its water utility.

Dick Haines in response stated in a PSC hearing a few months ago, during testimony, approximately forty percent of the water that currently comes out of the ground for Mountain Water does not get to the consumer due to leaks in the system. If the City owns that the City will have to deal with the problems.

Bob Jaffe asked if the committee would want to be more aggressive in the resolution that it is too ambiguous. He commented that we should include a requirement to show some benefit to the consumer. From the estimates given there is adequate money from the water rates to run the utility and pay for debt service. The cost of repairs would be another cost for the consumer and would be done by either a private company or the City. A private company would pass those costs to the rate payer plus the eleven percent profit, the City would not include a profit plus the cost.

Jon Wilkins does not support this sale to Carlyle.

Pam Walzer would like language in the resolution to clarify that we are not interested in buying Carlyle but when the Carlyle Group chooses to sell, Missoula would be able to purchase its own water. Jim Nugent sent an email stating that pursuant to Subsection 69-7-1115 MCA, a municipality must provide first class postage mail notice to the Montana Consumer Council of any changes in rates, changes or classifications to municipal utility rates. She said we do have a sewer utility and we have a history of operating a utility well and at a low cost to the consumer. Instead of a board to oversee the Council they have voters. Missoula is the only major City in Montana that has a privately owned water system with the highest or next to the highest rates in the State with a forty percent leakage rate. An advantage to the City owning the water utility is this is a great tool to control development. We do need to strengthen the resolution. She does support the resolution.

Lyn Hellegaard wants more clarification of what the PSC can legally do to implement an enforceable guarantee that we have an absolute right to purchase the water utility. What would happen if a deadline were included in the resolution to purchase the utility and the City was unable to buy it by then, we do not want to limit ourselves to a one time shot at buying it.

Ed Childers said the City should own the water company. He stated there is a "Whereas" in the resolution (third whereas) that is inaccurate, it states Missoula's privately owned water utility, Mountain Water Company is being sold by its parent company, Park Water to the Carlyle Group. It should be corrected to Park Water is being sold to Carlyle Group and Mountain Water is one part of the package. He would like legal clarification if selling Park Water in fact does not sell Mountain Water. We understand the ultimate owner changes, and we know that the corporation Park Water doesn't change. The City has the letter from Mountain Water that gives it the right of first refusal.

Jason Wiener changed the wording in the resolution "Whereas" from "by" to "with" so it will read Mountain Water Company is being sold with its parent company.

Cynthia Wolken said the Mayor has conferred with a contracts attorney and the letter of first refusal is not legally enforceable.

Jason Wiener did not include in the draft any conditions the Council would find the sale unacceptable, there are not enough specifics known at this time. In last week's meeting it was apparent to him that the City has no prospect of purchasing the water utility under its current ownership, which is not the case if someone different owns it. Carlyle and Park want this transaction and any guarantees would come from negotiations. The PSC can say no to the transaction. If we get an owner that would sell at a fair price in a reasonable time frame it is preferable to the status quo. We would be buying the all of the stock of Mountain Water; the City would be the owner.



Public Comment:

Brianna Randall representing the Clark Fork Coalition commented on the resolution saying one thing to consider is September 26, 2011 is the PSC hearing and that is when we all will learn more about the some of the details you are discussing. The PSC will not make a decision that day or that quickly so that would give you time to consider what you would want to support or not support. The coalition is going to advocate for giving the right of first refusal to the City of Missoula. They think the current structure of Carlyle owning the utility for a short time and then giving Missoula the first chance to buy would be a win-win situation they could get for the people of Missoula and the water resources. They think the City is the best steward for the Rattlesnake water, the aquifer and the water supply system, Missoula has a long term view and planning skills and Clark Fork Coalition doesn't want to have a revolving door of short term investors. They are hoping the Carlyle Group, the PSC, the City and the public will see the benefit of having a right of first refusal.

Derek Goldman agrees with the Clark Fork Coalition, and supports the City owning the water. The resolution needs a realistic time frame for Carlyle to offer it to the City, and also the resolution doesn't indicate the City's position on the sale. He agrees it is important for the City to pass a resolution.

Jason Wiener asked what standing the City Council's opinion has with the PSC and would it be greater or less if it was before or after the Public Hearing.

Renee Mitchell asked what if there was a clause in the agreement that would prohibit the owner from selling Mountain Water to the City, there are too many unknowns at this time.

Jason Wiener said the opportunity now is that the PSC would have to say yes to Carlyle buying the water utility and if Carlyle says they promise to sell to the City first as a condition of getting the approval from PSC that would be worth more than the last guarantee we got (letter of first refusal). Do we want this utility to be owned by this big private equity firm that is not accountable to anyone and could sell it? His preference is that we do not take action today.

Pam Walzer said she would like to have a resolution to submit during the first day of the Public Hearing, we could always send another resolution when we get additional information. The resolution could be in general terms stating that we desire to own our water utility, and would have liked to have purchased it from Park Water. If Carlyle buys it, we would like first right of refusal from them and to make certain that it is clear any attempt to sell Park Water Company gives Missoula the first right to buy Mountain Water. We have time to get this ready before September 26<sup>th</sup>.

Dave Strohmaier withdrew his motion; and he thinks it would be useful to have something ready for the PSC hearing.

Jason Wiener said this item would be held over.

7. Presentation from Public Works staff regarding proposed process for finding contractors and awarding bids for reconstruction of Russell Street. (memo)—Regular Agenda (Bob Jaffe) (Referred to committee: 11/15/2010) (REMOVE FROM AGENDA)

Steve King, Public Works Director, said the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) is completed and would be publically available tomorrow; it will also be on line at the Montana Department of Transportation web site [http://www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/eis\\_ea.shtml](http://www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/eis_ea.shtml) and it will also be linked via the City's web site. There is hard copy, CD's available, and web access. This is part of the formal National Environmental Policy Act process. The publication of the FEIS starts a statutory calendar for heading towards a Record of Decisions (ROD) which is the completion of the process. There is a thirty day review period of the document and then the actual work in Washington D.C. to produce the Record of Decision that is expected at the end of October. Gregg Wood, Project Coordinator, is the point of contact, any questions or comments related to this should go through him. He requested the City

Council meeting have the same information because there have been significant changes from the Draft EIS published in 2008 to the Final EIS today. Changes were from results of public comments in Public Hearings, results from Agency comments and from the traffic analysis update. The significant change was the roundabouts on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street to traffic signals as the preferred alternative. The Council will have a significant role in implementation. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process goes on its own; the result of it is the potential for project implementation on Russell and 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. Russell Street will work with the Department of Transportation and will talk about the content of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City and the State. The local project is 3<sup>rd</sup> Street and we will be bringing in an Engineering Service agreement and eventually construction contracts to the City Council. They will have direct involvement with the selection of the consultant and the actual orders for the work on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. We would like for all of that to be in motion by the Record of Decision date in October. Over the next two months Public Works will be bringing the Council draft MOU's for Russell Street and recommending actions for engineering services on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street. He requested that this presentation be presented at the September 22<sup>nd</sup> Council meeting so it could be on MCAT and reach a wider audience for the public to hear about the availability and the changes from the DEIS to the FEIS and how they can continue to be involved.

Jason Wiener said there is a slot for presentations from staff committees, commissions and boards and this would be an appropriate place for this presentation. Steve King said that would be okay as long as it was listed on the agenda.

Pam Walzer said there is a thirty day comment period, is there a statutory deadline for a time frame for when the Record of Decision has to be made? Gregg Wood said the ROD cannot be made until after the closing.

Bob Jaffe wanted a review on the process going forward, there is the comment period and then the ROD then what is the next step.

Steve King said we cannot officially start in the design until after the Record of Decision. For the past year we have been talking with the State about how to go forward after the ROD. The recommendation is to have the design process, bid the process and build the project. The State is the principal for the actual implementation of the construction contracts. The draft MOU's will be brought before the Council and we will be able to debate the merits of authority, control and who does what.

Steve King said 3<sup>rd</sup> Street was a local project; the majority of the costs for 3<sup>rd</sup> Street would be paid for by City labor and growth in the area, 3<sup>rd</sup> Street is curbs and sidewalks, intersections are not currently funded. The EIS identifies the project that is the preferred alternative; the language is explicit that conditions could be re-evaluated for alternatives in the future.

Steve King said Russell Street is approximately three to four years from the Record of Decision for construction. He said the right-of-way and utility work on 3<sup>rd</sup> Street would begin in 2012 so the construction could start in 2013.

#### Public Comment:

Alex Taft with the Missoula Institute for Sustainable Transportation said the Russell Street project is the biggest investment since Reserve Street and it needs to be done with great care. The design process, the EIS process does provide an alternative; it basically says this project is environmentally safe. He suggests the public process be involved and strongly urges them to advertise this nationally with local participation as a sub.

Gregg Wood added that after the presentation today there will be a press release, and they would be advertising in the Independent with a legal display ad, and it is also is being published to the Federal Register, and on the City and MDT websites.



### III. HELD AND ONGOING AGENDA ITEMS

1. Discussion on the sizes of grease interceptors for the restaurant industry ([Grease Interceptor PowerPoint](#)) ([memo](#)).—Regular Agenda (Stacy Rye and Bob Jaffe) (Referred to committee: 04/21/08)
2. Review infrastructure conditions at the locations of serious and fatal traffic accidents: 2007-2009 ([memo](#)).—Regular Agenda (Jason Wiener) (Referred to committee: 01/25/10)
3. T4 America partner support ([memo](#)) – Regular Agenda (Stacy Rye) (Referred to committee: (Referred to committee: 08/16/10)
4. Resolution to change the speed limit on Reserve Street between Brooks and 39<sup>th</sup> Street. ([memo](#))—Regular Agenda (Wayne Gravatt) (Referred to committee: 01/24/11)
5. Approve the agreement for consultant services with Eli & Associates, Inc. on Project 10-034 England Boulevard right turn lane improvements. ([memo](#)) - Regular Agenda (Kevin Slovarp) (Referred to committee 05/16/2011)
6. Infrastructure condition inventory and maintenance requirements. ([memo](#))—Regular Agenda (Ed Childers) (Referred to committee: 07/11/11)
7. Discuss Mountain Water parent company's acquisition by the Carlyle Group. ([memo](#))—Regular Agenda (Jason Wiener) (Referred to committee: 07/11/2011)**(REMOVE FROM HELD ITEMS)**

### IV. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:44 PM

Respectfully Submitted,  
Peggy Diamond, Program Specialist  
City Public Works Department